[N]	THE	UNITED	STATES	DISTRICT	COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CRYSTAL HOWERY,

Plaintiff,

No. C 14-01980 WHA

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

v.

INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF MACHINISTS AND AEROSPACE WORKERS,

Defendant.

This employment-discrimination action was reassigned to the undersigned judge on August 14, 2014. Before reassignment, the previously assigned magistrate judge held a case management conference on July 30, 2014, but *pro se* plaintiff Crystal Howery did not appear. The magistrate judge then issued an order to show cause by August 8, 2014, as to why this matter should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute, and noting that "it appears that Defendant has not yet been served" (Dkt. No. 6). That August 8 deadline came and went, with no response received from Ms. Howery. On August 14, 2014, the magistrate judge filed a report

On August 28, 2014, Ms. Howery timely filed an objection to the report and recommendation, pursuant Section 636(b) of Title 28 of the United States Code, Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(a), and the local civil rules. In that objection, she lists a number of reasons for why her action should not be dismissed, including the following statements (Dkt. No. 12):

and recommendation to dismiss this action for failure to prosecute.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	Plaintiff attempted to determine who and where to serve the complaint on defendant with great difficulty.						
2	* * *						
3	Although Plaintiff timely served the defendant, this event did not						
4	occur until approximately 48 hours ago. Certificate of service is [and] has not been made available to the Plaintiff from the process						
5	server, despite many calls.						
6	* * *						
7	Plaintiff would also appreciate an extension of time to file amended objections.						
8	In regards to service, no other details are provided in Ms. Howery's objection. It therefore						
9	remains unclear as to whether defendant has been properly served. Accordingly, Ms. Howery is hereby ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE as to whether proper service has been effected on defendant. Ms. Howery must file a written response to this order,						
10							
11							
12	and file a certificate showing that the summons and complaint have been properly served on						
13	defendant, by no later than SEPTEMBER 10, 2014 . If no response and/or certificate of service is						
14	filed, this action may be dismissed for lack of prosecution. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).						
15							
16	IT IS SO ORDERED.						
17							
18	Dated: September 2, 2014.						
19	WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE						
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							
26							
27							